

# The Global Imperative: Navigating International Standards for Medical AI

Rasit Dinc

*Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research*

Published: November 28, 2022 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17997702](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17997702)

## Abstract

The Global Imperative: Navigating International Standards for Medical AI The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into medicine promises a revolu...

## The Global Imperative: Navigating International Standards for Medical AI

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into medicine promises a revolution in diagnostics, treatment, and patient care. From sophisticated imaging analysis to personalized drug discovery, AI's potential is vast. However, this rapid technological advancement is met with a critical challenge: the need for robust, harmonized **international standards** to ensure safety, efficacy, and ethical deployment. For professionals and the public alike, understanding this evolving regulatory landscape is essential to fostering trust and accelerating responsible innovation in digital health.

### The Triad of Regulation: FDA, EMA, and Global Standards

The regulatory framework for medical AI is complex, primarily driven by major regulatory bodies and international standards organizations. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) are key players, each developing distinct but converging approaches to govern AI-enabled medical devices and medicinal products.

#### 1. The FDA's Total Product Lifecycle (TPL) Approach

The FDA recognizes that AI/Machine Learning (ML) in Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) is inherently adaptive, capable of learning and changing post-market [1]. This dynamic nature challenges the traditional regulatory paradigm, which is based on a fixed product at the time of premarket review.

To address this, the FDA has proposed a **Total Product Lifecycle (TPL)** approach, outlined in its AI/ML SaMD Action Plan [1]. This framework focuses on three core elements:

1. **Predetermined Change Control Plan (PCCP):** Manufacturers must

submit a plan detailing the types of modifications they intend to make to the AI algorithm (the "pre-specified changes") and the methods used to control those changes (the "change protocol"). This allows for certain modifications to be implemented without a new premarket review. 2. **Good Machine Learning Practice (GMLP)**: A set of guiding principles for developing, testing, and evaluating AI/ML-enabled medical devices, emphasizing data quality, performance monitoring, and transparency. 3. **Transparency**: Manufacturers are encouraged to provide clear, user-friendly labeling that explains the AI's functionality, limitations, and instructions for use to healthcare providers.

## ***2. The EMA's Reflection on the Medicinal Product Lifecycle***

In the European Union, the EMA's focus is on the use of AI throughout the **medicinal product lifecycle**, from drug discovery and development to post-market surveillance [2]. The EMA's reflection paper includes considerations for medicine developers and marketing authorization applicants, emphasizing the safe and effective use of AI and ML in line with EU legal requirements, including data protection and the overarching **AI Act**.

The EMA's work is characterized by a structured, multi-annual workplan that focuses on:

**Guidance and Policy**: *Delivering guidance on AI use throughout the medicine lifecycle.* **Tools and Technology**: Providing frameworks for the use of AI tools in regulatory decision-making. **Experimentation**: *Ensuring a structured approach to integrating AI into regulatory processes.*

## ***3. The Role of International Standards (ISO/IEC)***

*Beyond regulatory bodies, international standards organizations provide the technical and management blueprints for quality and risk. The most significant development is the **ISO/IEC 42001:2023** standard, the world's first international standard for an **Artificial Intelligence Management System (AIMS)** [3].*

*ISO/IEC 42001 provides a framework for organizations that develop, use, or provide AI-based products, including medical devices. It is designed to be integrated with other management systems, most notably **ISO 13485** (Quality Management Systems for Medical Devices). While ISO/IEC 42001 is not yet harmonized with medical device regulations like the EU's MDR or IVDR, its adoption signals a commitment to managing the specific risks and opportunities associated with AI, such as:*

<i>/ Standard</i>	<i>/ Focus Area</i>	<i>/ Relevance to Medical AI</i>	<i>/   :---   :---   :---  </i>	<b>ISO/IEC 42001</b>
<i>/</i>	<i>/ Artificial Intelligence Management System (AIMS)</i>	<i>/ Establishes governance for AI systems, addressing ethical and societal risks.</i>	<i>/  </i>	<b>ISO 13485</b>
<i>/</i>	<i>/ Quality Management System (QMS)</i>	<i>/ The foundational QMS for medical device manufacturers, ensuring product quality and regulatory compliance.</i>	<i>/  </i>	<b>ISO 14971</b>
<i>/</i>	<i>/ Application of Risk Management to Medical Devices</i>	<i>/ Essential for identifying, evaluating, and controlling risks associated with AI/ML devices.</i>	<i>/</i>	

*The convergence of these standards is creating a global expectation that medical AI developers must not only meet regulatory hurdles but also demonstrate a comprehensive, auditable management system for their AI lifecycle.*

## ***The Future of Harmonization and Trust***

---

*The current landscape is one of rapid evolution, with regulators striving to keep pace with innovation. The goal is a **harmonized global framework** that allows safe, effective, and ethical AI to reach patients quickly, regardless of geography. This requires continuous collaboration between regulatory agencies, industry, and academia.*

*For the target audience of professionals and the general public, the key takeaway is that the standards for medical AI are not static; they are a living, breathing system designed to manage risk while fostering innovation. The focus is shifting from simply validating a final product to continuously monitoring and governing the entire AI lifecycle.*

*For more in-depth analysis on this topic, the resources at [www.rasitdinc.com] (<https://www.rasitdinc.com>) provide expert commentary and further professional insight into the intersection of digital health, AI, and regulatory compliance.*

*\**

## ***References***

---

*[1] FDA. [Artificial Intelligence in Software as a Medical Device] (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/artificial-intelligence-software-medical-device>). U.S. Food and Drug Administration.*

*[2] EMA. [Artificial intelligence] (<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/about-us/how-we-work/data-regulation-big-data-other-sources/artificial-intelligence>). European Medicines Agency.*

*[3] Johner Institute. [ISO/IEC 42001: AI management systems] (<https://blog.johner-institute.com/quality-management-iso-13485/iso-iec-42001/>). Johner Institute Blog.*

*[4] Kuziemy, C. E., et al. (2024). AI Quality Standards in Health Care: Rapid Umbrella Review. JMIR Medical Informatics. [<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11153979/>] (<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11153979/>)*

*[5] Subtle Medical. [Subtle Medical Among First to Achieve ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Certification for AI Management] (<https://subtlemedical.com/subtle-medical-among-first-to-achieve-iso-iec-420012023-certification-for-ai-management/>). Subtle Medical News\*.*

<https://rasitdinc.com>

© 2022 Rasit Dinc