# The Digital Doctor Dilemma: Can We Trust AI Chatbots for Medical Advice?

Rasit Dinc

*Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research*

Published: November 5, 2023 | AI Diagnostics

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17997321

## Abstract

The rapid evolution of Large Language Models LLMs like ChatGPT and Gemini has ushered in a new era of digital health, democratizing access to knowledge and a...

The rapid evolution of Large Language Models (LLMs) like ChatGPT and Gemini has ushered in a new era of digital health, democratizing access to knowledge and allowing individuals to query complex health issues from the comfort of their homes. However, as these AI-powered chatbots become increasingly integrated into the healthcare information ecosystem, a critical question emerges for both professionals and the general public: **Can we truly trust AI medical advice from chatbots?** The answer is complex, requiring a nuanced, academic examination of their accuracy, safety, and the profound ethical and regulatory challenges they present.

## The Promise vs. The Peril: Accuracy and Reliability

The potential of LLMs in medicine is undeniable. Research has shown that advanced models can achieve high diagnostic accuracy in structured, common clinical scenarios, with some studies reporting accuracy rates exceeding 90% in specific tests [1] [2]. This capability suggests a powerful future for AI as a tool for clinical decision support, triage, and even reducing diagnostic errors.

However, the current reality is fraught with peril. A significant body of academic work highlights the unreliability of AI chatbots when providing direct medical advice. A major concern is the phenomenon of "hallucination," where the AI generates plausible but factually incorrect information [3]. Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that chatbots can be easily misled by false medical details, leading to the propagation of misinformation [4]. One alarming report indicated that a high percentage of chatbot responses contained false or misleading medical advice, underscoring the serious risks to users seeking health guidance [5]. The consensus among researchers is clear: while AI is a powerful **assistant** tool, it is not a safe or reliable **substitute** for professional medical judgment.

## Ethical and Regulatory Challenges in Digital Health

Beyond mere accuracy, the deployment of AI in a sensitive domain like healthcare introduces significant ethical and regulatory hurdles that must be addressed.

One primary concern is **algorithmic bias and fairness**. If the underlying training data for an LLM disproportionately represents certain demographics, the resulting advice may be less accurate or even harmful to underrepresented populations, thereby perpetuating health inequities [6].

Another critical challenge is **data privacy and confidentiality**. Medical queries often involve highly sensitive personal health information, which, when entered into a general-purpose LLM, may not be protected by the same stringent regulations that govern traditional healthcare systems. The use of AI platforms raises complex questions about compliance with regulations like HIPAA in the US and GDPR in Europe, and who is ultimately responsible for securing this data and preventing secondary use without consent [7]. The lack of clear regulatory classification for these tools—are they medical devices, software, or just information providers—further complicates oversight.

Finally, the issue of **accountability** remains largely unresolved. In the event that an AI's flawed advice leads to patient harm, determining legal and ethical responsibility—whether it lies with the developer, the healthcare provider who used the tool, or the platform itself—is a complex legal frontier [8]. This challenge is particularly acute in areas like mental health, where chatbots have been found to systematically violate core ethical standards [9].

## Integrating AI into the Future of Healthcare

The path forward for AI in medicine is not one of replacement, but of **integration** and **augmentation**. The most responsible and effective role for these technologies is as a sophisticated clinical assistant, enhancing the capabilities of human professionals rather than acting as a primary care source for the public. For instance, LLMs can be invaluable in synthesizing vast amounts of medical literature, generating differential diagnoses for a clinician to review, or automating administrative tasks, thereby freeing up physician time for direct patient care. This model of human-AI collaboration is where the true value of the technology lies, shifting the focus from the AI's ability to diagnose to its capacity to support and streamline the existing healthcare workflow.

For the general user, the advice is to treat AI-generated health information as purely informational, never prescriptive. It should serve as a starting point for discussion with a qualified physician, not as a final diagnosis or treatment plan. Navigating the complex landscape of digital health requires continuous professional insight and a deep understanding of both the technology and the medical context. For more in-depth analysis on this topic, the resources at [www.rasitdinc.com](https://www.rasitdinc.com) provide expert commentary.

## Conclusion

The question of whether we can trust AI medical advice from chatbots is best answered with caution. While the technology holds immense promise for improving efficiency and access, its current limitations—particularly in

reliability, ethical fairness, and regulatory clarity—demand a high degree of skepticism. Trust in this domain must be earned through rigorous, transparent testing, clear regulatory frameworks, and a commitment to patient safety above all else. Until then, the human doctor remains the indispensable cornerstone of medical advice.

**

## *References*

*[1] Shan, G. (2025). Comparing Diagnostic Accuracy of Clinical Professionals and Large Language Models.* JMIR Medical Informatics*. [URL: https://medinform.jmir.org/2025/1/e64963] [2] Comparative analysis of large language models in clinical ...* JAMIA Open*. [URL: https://academic.oup.com/jamiaopen/article/doi/10.1093/jamiaopen/ooaf055/8161131?rss=1] [3] Walker, H. L. (2023). Reliability of Medical Information Provided by ChatGPT.* PMC*. [URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10365578/] [4] Mount Sinai. (2025). AI Chatbots Can Run With Medical Misinformation, Study Finds, Highlighting the Need for Stronger Safeguards. [URL: https://www.mountsinai.org/about/newsroom/2025/ai-chatbots-can-run-with-medical-misinformation-study-finds-highlighting-the-need-for-stronger-safeguards] [5] "Don't Trust AI For Health Advice": Study Warns Of Serious Consequences.* NDTV*. [URL: https://www.ndtv.com/feature/dont-trust-ai-for-health-advice-study-warns-of-serious-consequences-8807794] [6] Ong, J. C. L. (2024). Ethical & regulatory challenges of LLMs in medicine.* The Lancet Digital Health*. [URL: https://www.thelancet.com/journals/landig/article/PIIS2589-7500(24)00061-X/fulltext] [7] Pham, T. (2025). Ethical and legal considerations in healthcare AI.* Royal Society Open Science*. [URL: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsos.241873] [8] Mennella, C. (2024). Ethical & regulatory challenges of AI in healthcare: A review.* PMC*. [URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10879008/] [9] Brown University. (2025). New study: AI chatbots systematically violate mental health ethics. [URL: https://www.brown.edu/news/2025-10-21/ai-mental-health-ethics]

---