

The Collaborative Future: How AI Works with Multi-Institutional Data

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: November 15, 2023 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17997306](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17997306)

Abstract

The promise of Artificial Intelligence AI in digital health is immense, but it is often hampered by a fundamental challenge: data silos. Healthcare data is f...

The promise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in digital health is immense, but it is often hampered by a fundamental challenge: **data silos**. Healthcare data is fragmented, locked away within individual institutions due to strict privacy regulations (like HIPAA and GDPR), competitive concerns, and technical incompatibilities. The question is: **How does AI work effectively with multi-institutional data without compromising patient privacy or data security?**

The answer lies in a paradigm shift from centralized data pooling to decentralized, privacy-preserving collaboration, driven by innovative techniques like Federated Learning and other Privacy-Preserving Technologies (PPTs).

The Challenge of Data Silos in AI

AI models, particularly deep learning networks, are notoriously data-hungry. To achieve robust, generalizable performance, they require vast, diverse datasets. When a model is trained on data from a single institution, it often suffers from **dataset shift** or **domain bias**, meaning its performance degrades significantly when applied to data from a different hospital or patient population [1].

The traditional solution—centralizing all data into one massive repository—is practically and legally unfeasible. Regulations like the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the US and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe impose stringent requirements on data sharing, making direct transfer of raw patient records extremely difficult and risky [2].

Federated Learning: Training the Model, Not Sharing the Data

Federated Learning (FL) has emerged as the leading solution to this dilemma. Coined by Google in 2016, FL is a distributed machine learning approach that allows multiple data owners (e.g., hospitals) to collaboratively train a shared global model without ever exchanging their raw data [3]. The process begins with a central server distributing the current version of the AI model to all participating institutions. 1. **Local Training:** Each institution trains the model locally on its own private dataset. Crucially, the raw data never leaves the local server. 2. **Parameter Aggregation:** Instead of sharing

data, each institution sends only the *model updates* (the learned parameters or weights) back to the central server. 3. **Global Model Update:** The central server aggregates these updates from all participants to create an improved global model, which is then redistributed for the next round of training.

This iterative process allows the AI model to learn from the collective experience of all institutions, resulting in a more robust and generalizable model, all while maintaining the privacy and security of the underlying patient data [4].

Beyond FL: The Role of Privacy-Preserving Technologies

While FL is powerful, it is often combined with other Privacy-Preserving Technologies (PPTs) to further enhance security and privacy. These include **Homomorphic Encryption (HE)**, which allows computations on encrypted data, and **Differential Privacy (DP)**, which adds statistical noise to model updates to prevent the inference of individual data points [5] [6]. Additionally, **Synthetic Data Generation** creates artificial, statistically representative datasets that can be shared more freely for research without containing actual patient information [7].

Real-World Impact in Digital Health

The application of AI to multi-institutional data is already transforming digital health. FL is being used to train AI models for **Medical Imaging Analysis** (detecting rare diseases across multiple hospitals), **Drug Discovery** (pooling insights from diverse patient cohorts), and **Predictive Modeling** (developing accurate risk prediction models from varied electronic health records) [1].

The collaborative power unlocked by these technologies is key to realizing the full potential of AI in medicine. For more in-depth analysis on this topic, the resources at [\[www.rasitdinc.com\]](http://www.rasitdinc.com) provide expert commentary and a wealth of information on the intersection of AI, digital health, and data privacy.

Conclusion

The future of AI in digital health is about decentralizing intelligence, not centralizing data. By leveraging Federated Learning and Privacy-Preserving Technologies, the healthcare industry can overcome data silos, build more powerful and unbiased AI models, and accelerate medical innovation—all without sacrificing patient privacy. This collaborative approach is an ethical imperative for the next generation of healthcare AI.

**

References

- [1] Rieke, N., et al. (2020). *The future of digital health with federated learning*. npj Digital Medicine, 3(119). [\[https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-020-00323-1\]](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-020-00323-1)
- [2] Teo, Z. L., et al. (2024). *Federated machine learning in healthcare: A systematic review*. Frontiers in Public Health, 12. [\[https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10897620/\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10897620/)
- [3] Kairouz, P., et al. (2021). *Advances and open problems in federated learning*. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 14(1-2), 1-210.
- [4] Zhang, F., et al. (2024). *Recent methodological advances in federated learning for healthcare*. The Lancet Digital Health, 6(7), e450-e461. [\[https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666389924001314\]](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666389924001314)

(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666389924001314>) [5]
Acar, A., et al. (2018). *A survey on homomorphic encryption schemes: Theory and implementation*. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 51(4), 1–35. [6] Dwork, C., et al. (2006). *Calibrating noise to sensitivity in private data analysis*. In Theory of Cryptography Conference. [7] Information Policy Centre. (2025). Privacy Enhancing Technologies (PETs) and Privacy Preserving Technologies (PPTs) in AI*.
[https://www.informationpolicycentre.com/uploads/5/7/1/0/57104281/cipl_pets_and_ppts_in_ai_main.pdf]
(https://www.informationpolicycentre.com/uploads/5/7/1/0/57104281/cipl_pets_and_ppts_in_ai_main.pdf)

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

<https://rasitdinc.com>

© 2023 Rasit Dinc