

# The Algorithmic Goodbye: How AI is Reshaping End-of-Life Decisions

Rasit Dinc

*Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research*

Published: January 29, 2022 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17998042](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17998042)

---

## Abstract

The Algorithmic Goodbye: How AI is Reshaping End-of-Life Decisions The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthcare is rapidly transformi...

# The Algorithmic Goodbye: How AI is Reshaping End-of-Life Decisions

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthcare is rapidly transforming nearly every facet of medicine, and the sensitive domain of end-of-life (EOL) care is no exception. As AI models become increasingly sophisticated at processing vast amounts of patient data, they are beginning to play a role in prognostication, symptom management, and even facilitating complex conversations about a patient's final wishes [1]. This shift presents both profound opportunities for optimizing care and significant ethical and legal challenges that demand careful consideration from professionals and the general public interested in digital health and AI.

## AI's Role in Prognostication and Palliative Care

One of the most immediate and impactful applications of AI in EOL care is **prognostication**—the prediction of a patient's clinical trajectory and life expectancy. Traditional methods rely on clinical experience and established scoring systems, which can be subjective and prone to variability. AI, particularly machine learning algorithms, can analyze electronic health records (EHRs), imaging data, lab results, and genomic information to identify subtle patterns indicative of a patient's likelihood of mortality or decline [2].

These AI-based prognostic tools can: **Identify patients for palliative care:** *By flagging patients who are at high risk of death within a specific timeframe (e.g., 6-12 months), AI can ensure they are referred to palliative care services earlier. This proactive approach allows for better symptom management, improved quality of life, and more time for goals-of-care discussions (GOCDs) [3].* **Optimize resource allocation:** Accurate prognostication helps healthcare systems allocate resources more effectively, ensuring that

intensive care is focused on patients who will benefit most, while comfort care is prioritized for those nearing the end of life. **Support clinical decision-making:** The AI's output serves as a powerful, data-driven tool for clinicians, supplementing their judgment and providing a more objective basis for difficult conversations with patients and families.

A key area of development is the use of AI to improve **symptom assessment and management** in palliative care. AI models can continuously monitor patient data from wearables and EHRs to detect subtle changes in pain levels, distress, or other symptoms that might be missed by intermittent human assessment. This real-time monitoring allows for more timely and personalized adjustments to medication and care plans, significantly enhancing the patient's comfort and quality of life during their final stages [1]. The promise here is a truly personalized palliative approach, moving beyond standardized protocols to care that adapts dynamically to the individual's needs.

### ***The Ethical and Human Dimensions of Algorithmic Prediction***

---

While the clinical utility of AI in EOL care is clear, the ethical implications are substantial. The very nature of predicting death raises questions about patient autonomy, the potential for bias, and the risk of dehumanizing the final stages of life [4].

A primary concern is the "**black box**" problem. If an AI model predicts a poor prognosis, the lack of transparency in how the algorithm arrived at that conclusion can erode trust. Clinicians and patients need to understand the evidence base, especially when such a prediction may influence a decision to withdraw life-sustaining treatment.

Furthermore, AI models are trained on historical data, which may contain systemic biases related to race, socioeconomic status, or access to care. If an AI disproportionately predicts shorter survival for certain demographic groups due to these biases, its use could exacerbate existing health inequities. Ethical frameworks for AI in palliative care emphasize the need for **fairness, transparency, and accountability** in deployment [5].

The most critical challenge, however, is maintaining the **person-centered nature of care**. End-of-life decisions are fundamentally human, involving values, beliefs, and emotional complexity that an algorithm cannot grasp. AI must remain a tool to inform, not a substitute for, the compassionate and nuanced communication between a patient, their family, and their care team. The decision to pursue comfort care, to enroll in hospice, or to forgo aggressive treatment must be rooted in a patient's expressed wishes and quality-of-life goals. The human element—the empathy, the shared decision-making, and the emotional support—is irreplaceable, and AI's role must be carefully calibrated to support, not overshadow, this essential interaction.

### ***Navigating the Future: Regulation and Professional Insight***

---

The rapid advancement of AI technology necessitates a corresponding evolution in regulatory and professional standards. Healthcare providers,

developers, and policymakers must collaborate to establish clear guidelines for the validation, deployment, and oversight of AI-based EOL tools. This includes:

1. **Clinical Validation:** Ensuring that AI models are rigorously tested across diverse patient populations to confirm accuracy and generalizability.
2. **Informed Consent:** Developing clear protocols for discussing the use of AI predictions with patients, ensuring they understand the nature and limitations of the technology.
3. **Legal and Liability Frameworks:** Clarifying who is responsible—the clinician, the hospital, or the AI developer—when an algorithmic prediction leads to a suboptimal outcome.

The conversation around AI in digital health is complex, requiring expertise that bridges technology, medicine, and ethics. For more in-depth analysis on this topic, the resources at [\[www.rasitdinc.com\]](https://www.rasitdinc.com) provide expert commentary and professional insight into the intersection of AI, digital health, and ethical practice.

In conclusion, AI offers a powerful lens through which to view a patient's final journey, providing data-driven insights that can enhance the timing and quality of palliative interventions. However, its role is, and must remain, advisory. The "algorithmic goodbye" is not about machines making the final call, but about using intelligent tools to ensure that the final decisions are as informed, compassionate, and aligned with human values as possible. The future of EOL care lies in a symbiotic relationship where AI's predictive power supports, but never supplants, the essential human element of care.

\*

## References

---

[1] Pan, M. (2025). Application of artificial intelligence in palliative care. *PMC*. [\[https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12135806/\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12135806/) (<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12135806/>) [2] Saleska, J. L. (2025). Improving End-of-Life Care through AI-Based Clinical Decision Support. *NEJM Catalyst*. [\[https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/CAT.24.0392\]](https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/CAT.24.0392) (<https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/CAT.24.0392>) [3] Bozkurt, S. (2025). AI in Palliative Care: A Scoping Review of Foundational Concepts and Ethical Considerations. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. [\[https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0885392425007833\]](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0885392425007833) (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0885392425007833>) [4] Oh, O. (2024). The ethical dimensions of utilizing Artificial Intelligence in end-of-life care. *PMC*. [\[https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12171082/\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12171082/) (<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12171082/>) [5] Huber, K. (2025). The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence-based Screening for End-of-Life Care\*. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. [\[https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0885392425000910\]](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0885392425000910) (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0885392425000910>)

**Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research**

<https://rasitdinc.com>

© 2022 Rasit Dinc