

The Algorithmic Gatekeeper: Should AI Be Used in Emergency Triage?

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: December 9, 2021 | AI Diagnostics

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17998102](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17998102)

Abstract

The Algorithmic Gatekeeper: Should AI Be Used in Emergency Triage? The emergency department (ED) is a high-stakes environment where rapid, accurate deci...

The Algorithmic Gatekeeper: Should AI Be Used in Emergency Triage?

The emergency department (ED) is a high-stakes environment where rapid, accurate decision-making is paramount. Triage, the process of prioritizing patients based on the severity of their condition, is the critical first step. As EDs worldwide grapple with overcrowding and resource constraints, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into the triage process has moved from theoretical concept to practical application. The central question remains: **Should AI be entrusted with the role of the algorithmic gatekeeper in emergency triage?**

The Case for AI: Efficiency and Accuracy

Proponents argue that AI-driven triage systems offer substantial, measurable benefits that can revolutionize emergency care. Traditional triage methods, often relying on subjective human assessment and standardized scales, can be prone to human error, leading to both **under-triage** (failing to recognize a critical condition) and **over-triage** (unnecessarily allocating resources to less severe cases).

AI models, trained on vast datasets of patient records, vital signs, and clinical outcomes, demonstrate a superior ability to predict critical outcomes and resource needs. Recent academic reviews highlight that AI can:

Improve Patient Prioritization: *By analyzing complex data patterns in real-time, AI can more accurately predict the need for intensive resources or immediate intervention, leading to better patient flow and reduced wait times [1].* **Reduce Variability:** AI provides a consistent, data-driven assessment, minimizing the variability inherent in human judgment, which can be particularly beneficial in high-stress, high-volume environments [2]. **Enhance**

Resource Allocation: By accurately forecasting patient disposition, AI can optimize the allocation of beds, staff, and diagnostic equipment, leading to a more efficient ED operation [3].

The Ethical and Practical Hurdles

Despite the promise of efficiency, the deployment of AI in such a sensitive area is fraught with ethical and practical challenges that demand careful consideration.

1. The "Black Box" Problem and Accountability

Many advanced AI models, particularly deep learning algorithms, operate as "black boxes," making it difficult for clinicians to understand why a specific triage decision was made. In a medical setting, this lack of transparency undermines clinical trust and complicates accountability. If an AI system makes an error leading to patient harm, the question of legal and ethical responsibility—does it lie with the developer, the hospital, or the supervising clinician—becomes critically complex [4].

2. Bias and Equity

AI systems are only as fair as the data they are trained on. If the training data reflects historical biases in healthcare—such as disparities in care provided to different demographic groups—the AI model will not only perpetuate but potentially amplify these inequities. Ethical considerations demand that AI-driven triage must facilitate **equity in waiting times** and resource allocation, upholding the biomedical principle of justice. Rigorous testing for algorithmic bias is essential before widespread adoption [5].

3. Loss of Clinical Autonomy

There is a concern that over-reliance on AI could lead to a degradation of human triage skills and a loss of professional autonomy among emergency physicians and nurses. While AI is intended as a supportive tool, an over-dependence could erode the critical thinking and nuanced judgment that human clinicians bring to complex, non-standardized cases [6].

Conclusion: A Supportive Tool, Not a Replacement

The consensus emerging from digital health research is that AI should not replace the human clinician but rather serve as a powerful, sophisticated **decision-support tool**. AI excels at pattern recognition and prediction, offering a real-time risk score that can flag high-risk patients more effectively than traditional methods. However, the final decision—the ultimate act of triage—must remain with the human expert who can integrate the AI's recommendation with the patient's full clinical context, social factors, and ethical considerations.

The successful integration of AI into emergency triage requires a commitment to transparency, rigorous validation against diverse populations, and clear frameworks for accountability. It is a journey that requires continuous dialogue between technologists, clinicians, and ethicists. For more in-depth

analysis on this topic, including the latest regulatory frameworks and case studies on digital health implementation, the resources at **www.rasitdinc.com** provide expert commentary and professional insight.

References*

[1] Da'Costa, A. (2025). AI-driven triage in emergency departments: A review of benefits, challenges, and future directions. *ScienceDirect*. [2] Yi, N. (2025). The effects of applying artificial intelligence to triage in the emergency department. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*. [3] Abdalhalim, AZA. (2025). Clinical Impact of Artificial Intelligence-Based Triage. *PMC*. [4] Amiot, F. (2025). Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Emergency Medicine. *JMIR Medical Informatics*. [5] Bianchi, V. (2025). Ethical considerations on the role of artificial intelligence in emergency triage. *PMC*. [6] Vearrier, L. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Emergency Medicine: Benefits, Risks, and Recommendations*. American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP).

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

<https://rasitdinc.com>

© 2021 Rasit Dinc