Is AI Approved by the FDA for Medical Use? A Deep Dive into the Regulatory Landscape

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: August 13, 2024 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17997011

Abstract

Is AI Approved by the FDA for Medical Use? A Deep Dive into the Regulatory Landscape The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learnin...

Is AI Approved by the FDA for Medical Use? A Deep Dive into the Regulatory Landscape

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into medical devices represents one of the most transformative shifts in modern healthcare. From enhancing diagnostic accuracy to personalizing treatment plans, AI promises a future of precision medicine. However, for these technologies to move from the lab to the clinic, they must navigate the rigorous regulatory pathways established by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The question, **"Is AI approved by the FDA for medical use?"** is not a simple yes or no; rather, it requires a nuanced understanding of the FDA's evolving framework for these dynamic technologies.

The Short Answer: Authorization, Not Blanket Approval

The most accurate answer is that the FDA does not grant a single "approval" for AI as a technology. Instead, it authorizes **specific AI-enabled medical devices** for marketing in the United States [1]. These devices, which fall under the category of Software as a Medical Device (SaMD), are reviewed through established premarket pathways, including Premarket Notification (510(k)), De Novo classification, or Premarket Approval (PMA), depending on the device's risk and novelty [2].

As of late 2024, the FDA has authorized over 950 AI/ML-enabled medical devices, demonstrating a significant and accelerating trend in the digital health sector [3]. These devices span a wide range of clinical applications, from cardiology and radiology to oncology and mental health, primarily focusing on diagnostic and screening functions.

The Regulatory Challenge: Locked vs. Adaptive Algorithms

The primary challenge for the FDA in regulating AI lies in the nature of Machine Learning itself. Traditional medical devices, including earlier software, operate on **"locked" algorithms**—meaning the algorithm is fixed and provides the same output for the same input every time. This allows the FDA to evaluate the device's safety and effectiveness at a single point in time before marketing [4].

However, many advanced AI/ML models are **adaptive**; they are designed to learn and change their performance over time as they encounter new data in the real world. This adaptive nature breaks the traditional regulatory paradigm, as a device authorized today could perform differently six months later.

To address this, the FDA has developed a forward-thinking approach centered on the **Total Product Lifecycle (TPLC)** [5]. This framework shifts the focus from a one-time premarket review to continuous oversight, ensuring the safety and effectiveness of the device throughout its entire lifespan.

The Total Product Lifecycle (TPLC) Framework

The TPLC approach is built on three core pillars designed to manage the unique risks of AI/ML-enabled SaMD:

1. **Good Machine Learning Practice (GMLP):** A set of principles that promote best practices in the development, testing, and evaluation of AI/ML algorithms, ensuring quality and transparency [6]. 2. **Predetermined Change Control Plan (PCCP):** This is a critical innovation. Developers can submit a PCCP outlining the types of modifications they intend to make to the algorithm (the "Algorithm Change Protocol") and the data and methods they will use to validate those changes (the "Update Protocol"). If the changes fall within the scope of the pre-authorized PCCP, the developer can implement them without a new premarket submission [7]. 3. **Transparency and Real-World Performance Monitoring:** Developers are expected to provide clear information to users about the device's intended use, performance, and the data used to train it. Post-market surveillance and real-world performance monitoring are essential to detect and address potential issues like performance drift or bias [8].

This TPLC framework is a testament to the FDA's commitment to fostering innovation while maintaining patient safety. It acknowledges that for AI to reach its full potential, the regulatory process must be as dynamic as the technology it governs.

Implications for Digital Health Professionals

For professionals and the general public interested in digital health, the FDA's regulatory stance provides both confidence and a call for vigilance. The authorization of hundreds of devices confirms that AI is a safe and effective tool when developed and deployed responsibly.

However, the rapid pace of authorization also necessitates a deeper understanding of the underlying technology and the regulatory nuances. Clinicians must be aware of whether an AI is "locked" or operating under a

PCCP, as this impacts how they should interpret its outputs and how often they can expect performance updates.

The future of AI in medicine is not just about the technology itself, but about the robust, adaptive regulatory systems that govern its use. For more in-depth analysis on this topic, the resources at www.rasitdinc.com provide expert commentary and further insights into the intersection of digital health, AI, and regulatory science.

**

References

[1] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Enabled Medical Devices. [2] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Software as a Medical Device (SaMD). [3] Pure Clinical. FDA – 950 AI/ML-enabled medical devices authorized. [4] Benjamens, S., et al. The state of artificial intelligence-based FDA-approved medical devices and algorithms: an online database. npj Digital Medicine*, 2020. [5] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Total Product Lifecycle Considerations for Generative AI. [6] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Good Machine Learning Practice for Medical Device Development: Guiding Principles. [7] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Predetermined Change Control Plans for Machine Learning-Enabled Medical Devices: Guiding Principles. [8] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Transparency for Machine Learning-Enabled Medical Devices: Guiding Principles.

[1]: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning-aiml-enabled-medical-devices "Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Enabled Medical Devices" [2]: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning-software-medical-device "Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Software" [3]: https://pureclinical.eu/news/the-us-fda-updated-the-list-of-ai-ml-enabled-medical-devices-authorizing-950-devices-2 "FDA – 950 AI/ML-enabled medical devices authorized" [4]: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-020-00324-0 "The state of artificial intelligence-based FDA-approved medical devices and algorithms: an online database" [5]: https://www.fda.gov/media/182871/download "Total Product Lifecycle Considerations for Generative AI" [6]: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/good-machine-learning-practice-medical-device-development-guiding-principles "Good Machine Learning Practice for Medical Device Development: Guiding Principles" [7]: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/predetermined-change-control-plans-machine-learning-enabled-medical-devices-guiding-principles "Predetermined Change Control Plans for Machine Learning-Enabled Medical Devices: Guiding Principles" [8]: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/transparency-machine-learning-enabled-medical-devices-guiding-principles "Transparency for Machine Learning-Enabled Medical Devices: Guiding Principles"

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

https://rasitdinc.com