Understanding Conflict of Interest Declarations in Digital Health and AI Research

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: November 5, 2025 | Digital Health

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17996388

Abstract

Learn why conflict of interest declarations are crucial in digital health and AI research to ensure transparency, trust, and ethical clinical applications.

Understanding Conflict of Interest Declarations in Digital Health and AI Research

Introduction

In the rapidly evolving fields of digital health and artificial intelligence (AI), research integrity and transparency are paramount. Conflict of Interest (COI) declarations serve as a critical mechanism to disclose potential biases that may influence research outcomes, clinical applications, and policy decisions. This article provides an in-depth exploration of COI declarations within digital health and AI research, emphasizing their clinical significance, underlying research evidence, common scenarios, challenges, and future directions.

What is a Conflict of Interest (COI)?

A Conflict of Interest occurs when a researcher's personal, financial, or professional relationships have the potential to compromise or appear to compromise their judgment, objectivity, or integrity. In digital health and AI research, COIs may arise from collaborations with technology companies, financial investments, intellectual property rights, or other affiliations that could bias study design, data interpretation, or reporting. The complex intersection of healthcare, technology, and commercial interests necessitates rigorous COI management to uphold ethical standards.

Clinical Significance of COI Declarations in Digital Health and AI

Digital health technologies and AI-driven tools increasingly influence clinical decision-making, diagnostics, and patient management. For example, AI algorithms that predict disease risk or automate image analysis can directly affect treatment pathways. Undisclosed COIs may lead to biased study results,

overestimation of efficacy, or underreporting of risks, potentially jeopardizing patient safety and clinical outcomes.

Several studies have demonstrated that financial ties or industry sponsorship can affect reported outcomes in medical research, including digital health interventions. For instance, a systematic review published in *JAMA* highlighted that industry-funded AI studies tend to report more favorable results compared to independently funded research. This underscores the importance of transparent COI declarations to ensure that clinicians, policymakers, and patients can critically appraise the evidence and make informed decisions.

Research Evidence Supporting COI Declarations

The literature emphasizes that transparent COI declarations contribute to scientific rigor and trustworthiness. A 2020 meta-analysis examining AI research in healthcare found that only 60% of studies adequately disclosed potential conflicts, raising concerns about research bias. Journals and regulatory bodies increasingly mandate COI statements to promote accountability.

Moreover, empirical evidence suggests that COI disclosures influence readers' perceptions of research credibility. Surveys of healthcare professionals indicate that awareness of COIs affects their likelihood to adopt new AI tools or digital health interventions. Thus, COI declarations are not merely administrative requirements but integral to maintaining the integrity of the research ecosystem.

Common Scenarios Requiring COI Declarations in Digital Health and AI

- **Collaborations with Technology Companies:** Researchers partnering with AI firms for algorithm development or validation must disclose these relationships. Corporate involvement can introduce biases related to data selection, algorithm tuning, or outcome reporting.

- **Financial Interests:** Consultancy fees, research funding, stock ownership, or patents related to AI tools or digital health devices are significant COIs. These financial ties may consciously or unconsciously influence study conduct and interpretation.

- **Intellectual Property Rights:** Ownership or licensing agreements for AI software, algorithms, or digital health platforms require disclosure as they create vested interests in positive study outcomes.

- **Advisory Roles and Board Memberships:** Serving on advisory boards or steering committees of companies involved in digital health or AI necessitates transparent reporting.

Best Practices for COI Declarations

To foster transparency and trust, researchers should adhere to the following best practices:

1. **Comprehensive Disclosure:** Clearly state all relevant financial, professional, and personal relationships that could influence the research or its interpretation. This includes indirect interests such as family holdings or institutional affiliations.

2. **Timely Updates:** COI statements should be updated regularly to reflect new or changing relationships throughout the research lifecycle.

3. **Accessibility:** COI declarations should be prominently included in all publications, conference presentations, clinical trial registries, and institutional reports.

4. **Institutional Oversight:** Universities and research institutions should implement policies and training to support researchers in identifying and managing COIs.

5. **Independent Review:** Where feasible, studies with significant COIs should undergo independent data analysis or peer review to mitigate bias.

Challenges in COI Management in Digital Health and AI

Despite established guidelines, several challenges complicate effective COI management:

- **Complexity of Relationships:** The multidisciplinary nature of AI research involves multiple stakeholders, making comprehensive disclosure difficult.

- **Evolving Technologies:** Rapid innovation means new types of interests (e.g., data ownership, algorithmic transparency) emerge continuously.

- **Inconsistent Reporting Standards:** Journals and conferences vary in their COI policies, leading to heterogeneity in disclosures.

- **Underreporting and Non-Compliance:** Researchers may unintentionally or deliberately omit relevant COIs due to lack of awareness or perceived stigma.

- **Data Privacy Concerns:** Sharing detailed financial or professional information can raise privacy issues, necessitating balanced approaches.

Future Directions

To improve COI management in digital health and AI research, several strategies are emerging:

- **Standardized COI Frameworks:** Development of unified, field-specific COI disclosure standards to harmonize reporting across journals, conferences, and regulatory bodies.

- **Automated Disclosure Tools:** Leveraging AI and digital platforms to assist researchers in identifying and managing COIs proactively.

- **Enhanced Transparency Initiatives:** Encouraging open data and open algorithms to reduce the impact of undisclosed biases.

- **Education and Training:** Incorporating COI awareness into digital health

and AI curricula for researchers and clinicians.

- **Regulatory Oversight:** Strengthening policies by agencies such as the FDA and EMA to mandate transparent COI reporting in clinical AI applications.

Conclusion

Conflict of Interest declarations are fundamental to preserving the integrity, transparency, and trustworthiness of digital health and AI research. Given the profound clinical implications of AI-driven tools, undisclosed conflicts risk compromising patient safety and the validity of scientific evidence. Adherence to best practices in COI disclosure, supported by institutional policies and evolving regulatory frameworks, is essential to foster responsible innovation. As digital health continues to transform healthcare delivery, robust COI management will remain a cornerstone of ethical research and clinical practice.

Keywords: Conflict of Interest, COI Declarations, Digital Health, Artificial Intelligence, AI Research, Transparency, Clinical Significance, Research Ethics, Medical AI, Digital Health Innovation