

Can I Use AI to Understand My Lab Results? A Professional and Academic Perspective

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: October 23, 2023 | AI Diagnostics

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17997335](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17997335)

Abstract

Keywords: AI in healthcare, lab results interpretation, digital health, artificial intelligence, medical diagnostics, patient autonomy, clinical laboratory

Keywords: AI in healthcare, lab results interpretation, digital health, artificial intelligence, medical diagnostics, patient autonomy, clinical laboratory

The integration of **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** into healthcare is rapidly transforming how medical data is processed and understood. A question increasingly posed by both patients and professionals is: **Can I use AI to understand my lab results?** While AI offers compelling capabilities for streamlining diagnostics and enhancing patient communication, a nuanced, professional, and academic perspective reveals that the answer is complex, resting on a balance between technological potential and critical human oversight.

The Promise of AI in Laboratory Medicine

AI models, particularly those leveraging Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning, have demonstrated significant utility in the clinical laboratory. Their primary strength lies in the ability to rapidly process vast amounts of data, identify subtle patterns, and flag anomalies that might be missed by the human eye.

Key Applications: *Physician Assistance:* AI assistants are being deployed in institutions like Stanford Health Care to draft initial interpretations of clinical tests and translate complex medical jargon into plain language for patient messages [1]. This enhances communication and reduces the administrative burden on clinicians. **Diagnostic Support:** AI can analyze laboratory data to recommend appropriate follow-up tests or assist in early disease detection by correlating results with known disease markers [2]. **Efficiency and Throughput:** Automation powered by AI can significantly increase the throughput of high-volume laboratory processes, leading to faster turnaround times for results.

The Critical Limitations: Context and Judgment

Despite these advancements, relying solely on AI for personal lab result interpretation is fraught with limitations. The current generation of AI, while powerful, lacks the essential elements of **contextual awareness** and **intuitive clinical judgment** that define human medical expertise [3].

Limitations of AI Interpretation: / Limitation / Description / Implication for Interpretation / / :--- / :--- / :--- / / **Lack of Contextual Awareness** / AI models cannot access or fully integrate a patient's complete medical history, current medications, lifestyle factors, or symptoms. / A slightly elevated marker might be normal for a patient on a specific drug, but AI could flag it as critical without this context. / / **Data Quality and Bias** / AI performance is limited by the quality and diversity of its training data. Biased or incomplete datasets can lead to skewed or inaccurate interpretations, particularly across diverse populations [4]. / Interpretations may be less reliable for demographic groups underrepresented in the training data. / / **Limited Flexibility** / Unlike human clinicians who use "gestalt" or intuitive judgment, AI operates based on programmed algorithms, limiting its ability to handle novel or ambiguous cases [3]. / AI may struggle with results that fall outside standard deviation but are clinically benign in a specific patient. /

In fact, a systematic review and meta-analysis on the diagnostic accuracy of AI models in laboratory medicine revealed an overall diagnostic accuracy of approximately 52.1%, demonstrating that AI models do not yet significantly outperform human physicians in this domain [5].

Ethical and Professional Considerations

The use of AI in personal health management raises profound ethical and professional questions that must be addressed.

Patient Autonomy and Transparency: Patients must be fully informed about when and how AI is being used in their care. The principle of **transparency** requires that the logic behind an AI-generated interpretation is understandable, allowing patients to provide informed consent and maintain autonomy over their health decisions [6]. **Safety and Liability:** When an AI provides a misleading interpretation, the question of liability—whether it rests with the developer, the clinician, or the patient—becomes a complex legal and ethical challenge. For this reason, most professional guidelines stress that AI should function as a **tool for the clinician**, not a replacement for them.

For more in-depth analysis on the ethical frameworks and professional responsibilities surrounding the deployment of AI in digital health, the resources at [www.rasitdinc.com](<https://www.rasitdinc.com>) provide expert commentary and cutting-edge research on the intersection of technology, ethics, and medicine.

Conclusion: A Tool, Not a Doctor

In conclusion, while AI is an invaluable and rapidly evolving tool that can assist in the interpretation of lab results, it is not a substitute for a qualified healthcare professional.

The current professional consensus is clear: AI can help translate,

*summarize, and flag potential issues in lab results, but the final, definitive interpretation and the subsequent clinical decision-making process **must** remain under the purview of a human physician. The human element provides the necessary contextual understanding, empathy, and ethical judgment to ensure safe and effective patient care.*

As AI continues to advance, its role will undoubtedly grow, but for now, it serves best as a powerful assistant in the diagnostic journey, not the final authority.

*

References

- [1] Stanford Medicine. (2025). AI tool assists doctors in sharing lab results. [\[https://med.stanford.edu/news/all-news/2025/01/ai-test-results.html\]](https://med.stanford.edu/news/all-news/2025/01/ai-test-results.html) [2]
- Islam, M. M. (2021). Deep into Laboratory: An Artificial Intelligence Approach to... [\[https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8227070/\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8227070/) [3] Lippi, G. (2025). Lights and shadows of artificial intelligence in laboratory... [\[https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11949529/\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11949529/) [4] Dankwa-Mullan, I. (2024). Health Equity and Ethical Considerations in Using Artificial... [\[https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2024/24_0245.htm\]](https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2024/24_0245.htm) [5] Takita, H. (2025). A systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic... [\[https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-025-01543-z\]](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-025-01543-z) [6] Tilala, M. H. (2024). Ethical Considerations in the Use of Artificial Intelligence and... [\[https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11249277/\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11249277/) [7] Hathr.ai. How to understand lab test results with AI. [\[https://www.hathr.ai/blogs/how-to-understand-lab-test-results-with-ai\]](https://www.hathr.ai/blogs/how-to-understand-lab-test-results-with-ai) [8] Farhud, D. D. (2021). Ethical Issues of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine and... [\[https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8826344/\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8826344/)