Can Employers Access My AI Health Data? A Deep Dive into Privacy, Law, and Ethics

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: February 4, 2023 | Digital Therapeutics

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17997627

Abstract

The convergence of Artificial Intelligence AI and digital health has ushered in an era of unprecedented personal health insight, from smartwatches tracking s...

Introduction

The convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital health has ushered in an era of unprecedented personal health insight, from smartwatches tracking sleep to sophisticated AI models predicting disease risk. This technological leap, while promising for personalized medicine, raises a critical question for the modern professional: **Can employers access my AI health data?** The answer requires a nuanced exploration of legal frameworks, ethical boundaries, and the evolving definition of "health data" in the digital age.

The Legal Landscape: HIPAA, GINA, and the AI Gap

In the United States, the primary safeguard for medical information is the **Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)**. HIPAA protects **Protected Health Information (PHI)** held by "covered entities" like health plans, healthcare providers, and healthcare clearinghouses, and their "business associates."

However, AI health data often exists in a regulatory gray area [1]. First, much of the AI-generated data—such as activity metrics and sleep scores from consumer-grade wearables and apps—is not collected by a HIPAA-covered entity and is instead governed by less stringent consumer protection laws. Second, most employers are not considered HIPAA-covered entities themselves. While an employer's group health plan may be subject to HIPAA, the employer is typically firewalled from accessing individual employee PHI.

A second crucial law is the **Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA)**, which prohibits employers from using genetic information—including the results of genetic tests and family medical history—to make employment decisions. As AI models increasingly analyze genomic data, GINA's role in preventing AI-driven discrimination becomes vital [2].

The Rise of Non-HIPAA Data and Employer Wellness Programs

The most significant threat to privacy comes from data that falls *outside* of HIPAA's protection, often through voluntary employer wellness programs that incentivize the use of wearables or health apps. While these programs promote health, they create a direct pathway for data collection. The key is **consent**; employees must typically consent to data sharing with the program vendor. The data shared with the employer is usually aggregated and anonymized, and the **Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)** emphasizes that this data must not be used for discrimination [3]. The risk lies in the fine print: if an AI system processes raw, non-PHI data and generates a health risk score, that score may not be protected by HIPAA, and the employer could gain access if the employee has broadly consented to the vendor's terms of service [4].

Ethical and Fiduciary Considerations

Beyond the letter of the law, employers face significant ethical and fiduciary challenges. The **"Black Box" Problem** of complex AI models makes it difficult to ensure fair, non-biased decisions when an employer-sponsored health plan uses an AI tool for claims adjudication or treatment necessity [5]. The Department of Labor (DOL) has stressed the need for **human oversight** to prevent AI from making discriminatory or erroneous decisions across an entire workforce [6]. Furthermore, AI models trained on historical data can embed and amplify existing **Bias and Discrimination**, potentially leading to systemic discrimination in hiring, promotion, or insurance coverage if an employer uses an AI-generated risk score based on flawed data.

Protecting Your Digital Health Footprint

For professionals concerned about their digital health privacy, several steps are crucial:

1. **Read the Terms of Service:** Understand what data is being collected, how it is being processed by AI, and with whom it is being shared before using any health app or wearable. 2. **Scrutinize Wellness Programs:** Review the privacy policy of any employer-sponsored wellness program. Ensure the data is aggregated and that the employer cannot access your individual results. 3. **Advocate for Transparency:** Support policies and regulations that mandate transparency in how AI systems use and interpret health data.

The question of employer access to AI health data is a battleground where technological capability meets personal privacy. While existing laws like HIPAA and GINA offer a baseline of protection, they were not designed for the age of ubiquitous AI. The responsibility for safeguarding this new frontier of health information is shared between regulators, employers, and the individual.

For more in-depth analysis on the legal and ethical complexities of digital health, the resources at www.rasitdinc.com provide expert commentary and cutting-edge research.

References

[1] MWE. (2025). *AI in employer-sponsored group health plans: Legal, ethical, and fiduciary considerations*. [https://www.mwe.com/insights/ai-in-employer-

sponsored-group-health-plans-legal-ethical-and-fiduciary-considerations/](https://www.mwe.com/insights/ai-in-employer-sponsored-group-health-plans-legal-ethical-and-fiduciary-considerations/) [2] EEOC. (2008). *Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008*. https://www.eeoc.gov/statutes/genetic-information-nondiscrimination-act-2008 [3] Goldberg Segalla. (2025). *EEOC: Avoid Bias with Wearable Tech in the Workplace*. https://www.goldbergsegalla.com/news-and-knowledge/knowledge/eeoc-avoid-bias-with-wearable-tech-in-the-workplace/ [4] Sheppard Mullin. (2025). *A New Era of Privacy Enforcement: Lessons for Digital Health Players*. https://www.sheppardhealthlaw.com/2025/09/articles/privacy-and-data-security/a-new-era-of-privacy-enforcement-lessons-for-digital-health-players/ [5] MWE. (2025). *AI in employer-sponsored group health plans: Legal, ethical, and fiduciary considerations*. https://www.mwe.com/insights/ai-in-employer-sponsored-group-health-plans-legal-ethical-and-fiduciary-considerations/
