

Can AI Make Decisions About Life Support? An Ethical and Clinical Analysis

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: December 7, 2021 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17998104](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17998104)

Abstract

Can AI Make Decisions About Life Support? An Ethical and Clinical Analysis The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthcare has revolutionized

Can AI Make Decisions About Life Support? An Ethical and Clinical Analysis

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthcare has revolutionized diagnostics, treatment planning, and patient management. However, as AI systems become more sophisticated, a critical and deeply sensitive question emerges: **Can AI make decisions about life support?** This question transcends mere technological capability, delving into the core of medical ethics, human autonomy, and legal accountability. For professionals and the public interested in digital health, understanding the current limitations and future trajectory of AI in end-of-life care is paramount.

The Role of AI in End-of-Life Prognostication

Currently, AI's primary role in end-of-life care is **prognostication** and **decision support**, not autonomous decision-making [1]. Machine learning models can analyze vast datasets—including electronic health records, physiological monitoring data, and imaging results—to predict outcomes such as mortality risk or the likelihood of benefiting from aggressive interventions [2]. For instance, AI-based tools have been developed to estimate mortality risk, which can then be used to prompt Goals of Care Discussions (GOCDs) between clinicians and patients [3].

This capability offers significant benefits: **Improved Accuracy:** *AI can identify subtle patterns in complex data that may be missed by human clinicians, potentially leading to more accurate prognoses.* **Reduced Bias:** When trained on diverse, unbiased data, AI could theoretically reduce the impact of implicit human bias in end-of-life recommendations. **Timely Intervention:** *Early and accurate prognostication allows for more timely palliative care consultations and better-informed patient and family decisions*

[4].

However, it is crucial to distinguish between a **prediction** and a **decision**. AI can provide a probability, but the decision to withdraw or withhold life support remains a profoundly human, ethical, and legal act.

Ethical and Legal Imperatives

The ethical and legal framework surrounding life support decisions presents formidable barriers to AI autonomy. The core principles of medical ethics—autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice—are directly challenged when considering AI involvement [5].

1. Autonomy and Consent

Patient autonomy, the right of a patient to make informed decisions about their own medical care, is the cornerstone of end-of-life planning. An AI system cannot engage in the nuanced, empathetic communication required for informed consent or shared decision-making. The process of understanding a patient's values, spiritual beliefs, and quality-of-life preferences is inherently human [6].

2. Accountability and Liability

In the event of a flawed AI-driven recommendation that leads to a negative outcome, the question of legal liability is complex. Does the fault lie with the AI developer, the hospital, the prescribing physician, or the AI itself? Current legal systems are not equipped to assign criminal or civil liability to an algorithm. The final decision-maker must be a human who can be held accountable [7].

3. The Black Box Problem

Many advanced AI models, particularly deep learning networks, operate as "black boxes," meaning their decision-making process is opaque and difficult to interpret. In a life-or-death scenario, the requirement for **transparency** and **explainability** is non-negotiable. Clinicians and families must understand why a prognosis was reached to trust the recommendation and defend the resulting decision [5].

The Future: AI as a Partner, Not a Principal

The consensus among ethicists and digital health experts is that AI will continue to serve as a powerful **clinical decision support tool**, enhancing human judgment rather than replacing it. The future of AI in life support will likely focus on:

| AI Function | Human Responsibility | Ethical Consideration | | :--- | :--- | :--- | |
Prognostication | Interpretation of AI output | Transparency and Explainability | | **Data Synthesis** | Communication with patient/family | Autonomy and Empathy | | **Risk Assessment** | Final decision-making and accountability | Legal Liability and Accountability | | **Bias Detection** | Ensuring equitable application of care | Justice and Fairness |

The human element—compassion, empathy, and the ability to weigh subjective values—is irreplaceable in the context of end-of-life care. AI can process data, but it cannot process grief, hope, or the deeply personal meaning of a life.

For more in-depth analysis on the ethical and technological intersection of AI and critical care, the resources at www.rasitdinc.com provide expert commentary and professional insights into the future of digital health.

Conclusion

While AI offers unprecedented power to predict outcomes in critical care, the decision to initiate, continue, or withdraw life support remains firmly in the human domain. The complexity of medical ethics, the necessity of patient autonomy, and the requirement for human accountability ensure that AI will remain a sophisticated tool in the hands of clinicians, not the final arbiter of life and death. Responsible innovation demands that we continue to develop AI systems that are transparent, fair, and ethically grounded, always serving to support, not supplant, the compassionate judgment of healthcare professionals.

*

References

[1] MacIntyre, M. R. (2023). Ethical considerations for the use of artificial intelligence in medical decision-making capacity assessments. International Journal of Medical Informatics. [2] Chustecki, M. (2024). Benefits and Risks of AI in Health Care: Narrative Review. JMIR Medical Informatics. [3] Saleska, J. L., et al. (2025). Improving End-of-Life Care through AI-Based Clinical Decision Support. NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery. [4] Xie, W., & Butcher, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence decision support tools for end-of-life care planning conversations. Canadian Journal of Health Technologies. [5] Pham, T. (2025). Ethical and legal considerations in healthcare AI. Journal of Medical Ethics. [6] Dankwa-Mullan, I. (2024). Health Equity and Ethical Considerations in Using Artificial Intelligence in Public Health. Preventing Chronic Disease. [7] Nasir, M. (2025). Ethical-legal implications of AI-powered healthcare in developing countries. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence.*