Can AI Make Decisions About Clinical Trials? A Look at Autonomy, Ethics, and the Future of Drug Development

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: November 28, 2021 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17998112

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence AI into healthcare is rapidly transforming medicine, and clinical trials are no exception. The question of whether...

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthcare is rapidly transforming medicine, and clinical trials are no exception. The question of whether AI can make autonomous decisions about clinical trials is complex, touching on technological capability, regulatory oversight, and profound ethical considerations. AI is an indispensable tool in optimizing trials, but its role remains largely supportive, augmenting human expertise rather than replacing final human judgment.

The Augmentation, Not Automation, of Trial Design

AI's strength in clinical trials lies in its ability to process vast, complex datasets, revolutionizing several key areas:

1. **Trial Design and Optimization:** AI algorithms can analyze historical trial data, electronic health records (EHRs), and genomic information to design more efficient and targeted protocols. This includes optimizing patient selection, predicting enrollment rates, and identifying the most suitable sites. AI systems support **adaptive trial designs** by continuously monitoring accumulating data and recommending protocol modifications—such as dose adjustments or sample size changes—based on predefined statistical rules. 2. **Patient Recruitment and Monitoring:** Machine learning models can analyze diverse datasets to identify ideal candidates for trials, significantly accelerating the recruitment process. Furthermore, AI can monitor participants in real-time, predicting potential adverse events (AEs) and flagging deviations from the protocol, thereby enhancing patient safety and data quality. 3. **Data Analysis and Interpretation:** AI excels at rapidly analyzing trial endpoints, imaging data, and biomarker results. This speeds up the process of generating insights and can help researchers determine efficacy and safety profiles more quickly.

In these roles, AI is a powerful decision-**support** system. It provides recommendations and executes complex calculations, but the ultimate decision to alter a protocol, enroll a patient, or halt a trial remains with the human investigator and regulatory bodies.

Regulatory and Ethical Roadblocks to AI Autonomy

The path to fully autonomous AI decision-making in clinical trials is fraught with significant regulatory and ethical challenges.

The Regulatory Imperative

Regulatory bodies, such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), are actively grappling with how to govern AI-driven processes. The FDA has issued guidance on the use of AI to support regulatory decision-making, emphasizing transparency, reliability, and validation. A key hurdle is the "black box" problem: the difficulty in understanding *how* a complex AI model arrived at a specific decision. For a trial to be valid, every critical decision must be auditable and justifiable, a requirement that challenges the opacity of many advanced AI systems.

Ethical and Accountability Concerns

The ethical deployment of AI centers on several critical issues:

Bias and Fairness: *If AI models are trained on unrepresentative or biased data, their decisions—such as excluding certain demographic groups from a trial—can perpetuate and amplify health inequities.* **Accountability:** Establishing clear lines of accountability is paramount in the event of a trial failure or patient harm resulting from an AI-driven decision. ***Informed Consent:*** *As AI takes on more complex roles, the process of informed consent must evolve to ensure participants understand how AI will be used to manage their care and the trial protocol.*

For more in-depth analysis on the intersection of digital health, AI, and the future of medical ethics, the resources at www.rasitdinc.com provide expert commentary and professional insights.

The Future: A Collaborative Human-AI Ecosystem

The consensus among experts is that the future of clinical trials is a collaborative ecosystem where human oversight is non-negotiable. AI will continue to take on more sophisticated tasks, moving from simple data processing to complex predictive modeling across all trial phases—from predicting toxicity in Phase I to identifying optimal patient subgroups in Phase II and ensuring data quality in Phase III.

The critical distinction remains: AI excels at ***probabilistic decision-making*** *(e.g., "There is an 85% chance this patient will experience an adverse event") while humans retain* ***normative decision-making*** *(e.g., "Given this risk, we will ethically choose to adjust the protocol"). The human element ensures that ethical principles are upheld.*

In conclusion, the question, "Can AI make decisions about clinical trials?" is best answered with a nuanced "Yes, but only in a supportive capacity." AI is an unparalleled tool for optimization, prediction, and data management. The goal is not full AI autonomy, but a powerful partnership that transforms the clinical trial landscape for the benefit of all.

*

Academic References

1. Olawade, D. B. (2025). Artificial intelligence in clinical trials: A comprehensive review. Signal Processing: Image Communication*. 2. Khosravi, M. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Decision-Making in Healthcare.* Journal of Medical Systems*, 48(1), 17. 3. Han, R. (2024). Randomised controlled trials evaluating artificial intelligence interventions in clinical care: a systematic review.* The Lancet Digital Health*, 6(5), e324-e335. 4. Mennella, C. (2024). Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in clinical practice.* Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 7*, 1368978.
