

Bridging the Knowledge Gap: How Clinicians Stay Updated on AI Advances in Medicine

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: October 6, 2022 | AI Diagnostics

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17997761](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17997761)

Abstract

Bridging the Knowledge Gap: How Clinicians Stay Updated on AI Advances in Medicine
The rapid, transformative impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on c...

Bridging the Knowledge Gap: How Clinicians Stay Updated on AI Advances in Medicine

The rapid, transformative impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on clinical practice—from enhancing diagnostic accuracy to enabling personalized medicine—presents a profound challenge to the healthcare profession. While AI promises to augment human capabilities, its swift evolution creates a critical knowledge gap. For practicing clinicians, maintaining competence and achieving "AI literacy" is no longer optional; it is a professional imperative. The question of **how clinicians stay updated on AI advances** is central to the safe, effective, and ethical integration of these powerful tools into patient care. The answer lies in a multi-faceted approach to continuous learning, one that encompasses formal education, critical appraisal, and sustained professional engagement.

The Foundational Framework: Tiers of AI Expertise

To address the educational challenge, academic literature has proposed structured frameworks for AI education. One such model outlines three tiers of medical AI expertise, which serves as a roadmap for curriculum development and **clinician AI training** [1].

Tier	Focus	Key Competency	:--	:--	:--	Basic Skills	Practical application
							The ability to safely and effectively use existing clinical AI tools.
						Proficient Skills	Critical appraisal
							The ability to evaluate AI tools for safety, bias, ethical implications, and clinical utility.
						Expert Skills	Innovation and development
							Deep technical understanding combined with clinical expertise to drive new AI solutions.

For the majority of the medical workforce, achieving at least **Proficient Skills** is essential. This level of **healthcare AI literacy** moves beyond mere

tool usage to ensure that clinicians can critically assess an AI's output, understand its limitations, and ultimately, protect patient well-being.

Formal and Continuing Medical Education (CME)

The primary route for **medical AI upskilling** for practicing clinicians is through Continuing Medical Education (CME). While medical schools and residency programs are beginning to integrate AI into their curricula, the current generation of practitioners must actively seek out specialized training.

This demand has led to a proliferation of high-quality educational resources from authoritative sources. Professional bodies, such as the American Medical Association (AMA), offer courses on the responsible usage of AI in medicine. Specialized organizations, like the American Board of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine (ABAIM), have emerged to provide certification and structured **AI for doctors courses**. Furthermore, leading academic institutions, including Harvard Medical School and the Mayo Clinic, have established dedicated programs focusing on the strategic implementation and clinical application of AI. These resources are vital for ensuring that **continuing medical education AI** is rigorous, relevant, and grounded in clinical reality.

The Imperative of Critical Appraisal and Ethics

Staying updated on AI is not simply about learning new technologies; it is fundamentally about developing the capacity for critical appraisal. This is where the Proficient Skill tier becomes paramount. Clinicians must be equipped to evaluate an AI tool's value proposition, model performance, and potential for bias. The ethical issues surrounding AI in healthcare—which include epistemic challenges (lack of oversight in decision-making), normative issues (unfair outcomes due to biased data), and traceability (assigning responsibility for harm)—are complex and require continuous, expert-level scrutiny [2].

Understanding these nuances is crucial for any clinician acting as a steward of patient data and care. The ethical and practical challenges of AI adoption require continuous, expert-level scrutiny. For more in-depth analysis on this topic, the resources at www.rasitdinc.com provide expert commentary. This level of engagement ensures that AI is integrated not just efficiently, but also equitably and safely.

Conclusion: A Path to AI-Augmented Practice

The future of medicine is undeniably AI-augmented. Clinicians who commit to a multi-pronged strategy—embracing formal training, utilizing specialized CME, and prioritizing critical, ethical appraisal—will be best positioned to harness AI's potential. By viewing AI not as a replacement but as a powerful new stethoscope, the medical community can ensure that technological progress translates directly into improved patient outcomes and a more efficient healthcare system. Lifelong learning in the digital health era is the key to unlocking this transformative potential.

**

References

- [1] Schubert, T., Oosterlinck, T., Stevens, R. D., Maxwell, P. H., & van der Schaar, M. (2024). AI education for clinicians. *eClinicalMedicine*, 79, 102968. [<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39720600/>] (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39720600/>)
- [2] Kelly, C. J., Karthikesalingam, A., Suleyman, M., Corrado, G., & King, D. (2019). Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence. *BMC Medicine*, 17(1), 195. [<https://bmcmmedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12916-019-1426-2>] (<https://bmcmmedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12916-019-1426-2>)
- [3] Wubineh, B. Z., et al. (2024). Review Article Exploring the opportunities and challenges of artificial intelligence in medicine. *The American Journal of the Medical Sciences**, 367(1), 10-18. [<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1078143923004179>] (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1078143923004179>)