Are AI Health Screenings Affordable? A Deep Dive into the Economics of Digital Diagnostics

Rasit Dinc

Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research

Published: March 3, 2023 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17997592

Abstract

Are AI Health Screenings Affordable? A Deep Dive into the Economics of Digital Diagnostics The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthca...

Are AI Health Screenings Affordable? A Deep Dive into the Economics of Digital Diagnostics

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthcare, particularly in diagnostic and screening processes, represents a paradigm shift with profound implications for patient care. While the clinical benefits—such as increased accuracy, speed, and early disease detection—are widely celebrated, a critical question remains for policymakers, healthcare professionals, and the general public: **Are AI health screenings truly affordable?** The answer is complex, moving beyond a simple cost comparison to encompass long-term cost-effectiveness, budget impact, and the potential for democratizing access to care.

The Cost-Effectiveness Paradox: Initial Investment vs. Long-Term Savings

At first glance, the deployment of AI-powered screening tools, which often require significant upfront investment in hardware, software licensing, and specialized training, might seem to increase healthcare costs. However, academic research consistently points to the **long-term cost-effectiveness** of AI interventions, primarily through two mechanisms: efficiency gains and improved patient outcomes [1].

AI excels at automating repetitive, high-volume tasks, such as analyzing medical images (e.g., mammograms, retinal scans, or ECGs) or processing lab results. This automation reduces the time and labor required by human specialists, leading to substantial operational savings. For instance, studies on AI-enhanced screening for conditions like heart failure and breast cancer have demonstrated favorable cost-effectiveness ratios. One systematic review found that AI-driven models reduced per-patient screening costs by 14–19.5% and

achieved incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) as low as $1,107.63 per Quality-Adjusted Life Year (QALY) gained [2].

Furthermore, the superior diagnostic accuracy of some AI models, particularly in early-stage disease detection, translates directly into cost savings. Detecting a disease earlier often means less invasive, less expensive treatment is required, preventing the catastrophic costs associated with late-stage interventions.

| Screening Method | Initial Cost Profile | Long-Term Cost Impact | Primary Mechanism for Savings | | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | **Traditional Screening** | High labor costs, moderate equipment cost | High due to late-stage diagnosis and treatment | N/A | | **AI-Enhanced Screening** | High upfront investment (software, training) | Low to moderate, often cost-saving | Efficiency, early detection, reduced human error |

Case Studies in Affordability: AI in Practice

The economic impact of AI varies significantly depending on the application:

1. **AI in Retinal Screening:** AI algorithms can analyze retinal images for signs of diabetic retinopathy, a leading cause of blindness. In many settings, this can be performed by a technician and the AI, without requiring an ophthalmologist for every initial screen. This dramatically lowers the cost and increases the accessibility of screening in primary care settings, especially in underserved areas [3]. 2. **AI in Cardiology (AI-ECG):** Research has shown that using AI to analyze standard electrocardiograms (ECGs) for signs of heart failure is highly cost-effective. One study calculated the cost-effectiveness ratio of AI-ECG at $27,858 per QALY, a figure generally considered cost-effective in the US healthcare system [4]. 3. **AI in Radiology:** While the initial cost of AI software for interpreting CT scans or X-rays is high, the ability to prioritize critical cases and reduce false positives can streamline workflow and prevent unnecessary follow-up procedures, ultimately saving money for both the healthcare system and the patient.

The Challenge of Access and Equity

While the macro-economic data supports the cost-effectiveness of AI, the question of **affordability for the individual patient** remains a challenge. The benefits of AI are not automatically distributed equitably. In many systems, the cost of an AI-enhanced screening may be passed on to the patient, or the technology may only be available in well-funded urban medical centers.

To truly democratize AI health screenings, three key areas must be addressed:

1. **Reimbursement Policies:** Healthcare payers and governments must establish clear reimbursement codes that recognize the value and cost-saving potential of AI diagnostics, ensuring that providers are incentivized to adopt the technology. 2. **Infrastructure Development:** Investment is needed to bring the necessary digital infrastructure (high-speed internet, cloud computing) to rural and low-resource settings. 3. **Regulatory Clarity:** Clear regulatory pathways are essential to accelerate the deployment of safe and

effective AI tools, reducing the time and cost associated with bringing them to market.

The evidence suggests that AI health screenings are not just affordable, but often **cost-saving** when viewed through the lens of population health and long-term economic impact. The challenge now is to translate this economic potential into equitable access for all. For more in-depth analysis on the intersection of digital health, AI, and healthcare economics, the resources at www.rasitdinc.com provide expert commentary and further professional insight.

**

References

[1] El Arab, R. A., et al. (2025). Systematic review of cost effectiveness and budget impact of clinical artificial intelligence (AI) interventions. Nature Partner Journals Digital Medicine*. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-025-01722-y [2] Wu, H., et al. (2024). A systematic review of economic evaluation of artificial intelligence in medical imaging.* The Lancet Digital Health*. [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0039625724000250] (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0039625724000250) [3] Khanna, N. N., et al. (2022). Economics of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Diagnosis vs. Treatment.* PMC*. [https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9777836/] (https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9777836/) [4] Mayo Clinic News Network. (2024). Research study shows the cost-effectiveness of AI-enhanced heart failure screening. [https://newsnetwork.mayoclinic.org/discussion/research-study-shows-the-cost-effectiveness-of-ai-enhanced-heart-failure-screening/] (https://newsnetwork.mayoclinic.org/discussion/research-study-shows-the-cost-effectiveness-of-ai-enhanced-heart-failure-screening/)
