# AI Medical Image Enhancement vs. Raw Images: A Clinical and Ethical Comparison

Rasit Dinc

*Rasit Dinc Digital Health & AI Research*

Published: May 24, 2023 | Medical Imaging AI

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17997501

## Abstract

AI Medical Image Enhancement vs. Raw Images: A Clinical and Ethical Comparison The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into medical imaging is t...

# AI Medical Image Enhancement vs. Raw Images: A Clinical and Ethical Comparison

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into medical imaging is transforming diagnostics, moving beyond simple analysis to actively shaping the images themselves. This shift introduces a critical debate: the clinical and ethical implications of using **AI-enhanced medical images** versus the traditional **raw images** acquired directly from scanners. For professionals and the public interested in digital health, understanding this distinction is paramount.

## The Promise of AI Enhancement

Raw medical images—from CT, MRI, X-ray, or ultrasound—are the direct output of the imaging hardware. They contain the fundamental data but are often limited by noise, artifacts, and the need to minimize radiation dose. AI-based image enhancement, primarily using deep learning, addresses these limitations in three key ways:

1. **Noise Reduction and Denoising:** AI algorithms effectively suppress noise that obscures fine details, especially in low-dose imaging protocols. This is critical in Computed Tomography (CT), where AI-powered iterative reconstruction allows for substantial **radiation dose reduction** (up to 70-90%) while maintaining or improving image quality compared to standard-dose scans [1] [2]. 2. **Resolution and Contrast Enhancement:** AI can sharpen images and improve the visibility of subtle structures, crucial for early disease detection. For instance, AI-enhanced MRI techniques can reduce scan times and improve image resolution, making complex procedures more efficient [3]. 3. **Artifact Suppression:** AI models are trained to recognize and remove common imaging artifacts, such as those caused by patient motion or

metallic implants, leading to cleaner, more interpretable images.

The clinical impact is clear: improved image quality can lead to **enhanced diagnostic precision** and a reduction in diagnostic errors [4].

## The Case for Raw Data: Transparency and Trust

Despite the compelling advantages of AI enhancement, the reliance on raw, unadulterated images remains a cornerstone of medical practice. The primary argument for raw images centers on **transparency, accountability, and data integrity**.

When an AI model modifies an image, it introduces an abstraction layer between the original physical data and the final image presented to the clinician. This "black box" process raises concerns:

***Algorithmic Bias:*** *If the AI model was trained on a biased dataset, the enhancement could inadvertently obscure true pathology or introduce false findings, leading to misdiagnosis [5].* **Data Fidelity:** The enhanced image is a reconstruction, not a direct measurement. Subtle information in the raw data, which the AI may have deemed "noise" and removed, could be critical for a definitive diagnosis in complex cases. ***Legal and Ethical Accountability:*** *The raw image serves as the undeniable, primary record in a medicolegal context. Any diagnostic decision must be traceable back to this original source.*

*For more in-depth analysis on this topic, the resources at* ***www.rasitdinc.com*** *provide expert commentary, particularly on the intersection of AI ethics and clinical practice in digital health.*

## *Bridging the Gap: Clinical Validation and the Future*

*The debate is not a simple choice, but a question of how to integrate AI-enhanced images responsibly. The academic consensus is that AI-enhanced images must demonstrate* ***non-inferiority or superiority*** *to raw images in clinical trials before widespread adoption [6].*

*Studies have shown that AI-enhanced low-dose CT scans can achieve comparable diagnostic performance to standard-dose scans, proving their clinical utility [7]. However, the ethical landscape remains complex, with major risks including data privacy, fairness, and the need for* ***transparency*** *in how AI algorithms function [8].*

*The future of medical imaging will involve a hybrid approach: AI-enhanced images will become the primary viewing standard for routine diagnostics, but the raw data must always be preserved and accessible for validation and as the ultimate source of truth. This dual approach ensures that technological advancement is balanced with the core principles of medical ethics and patient safety.*

*

## *References*

*[1] S. Zhang et al., "Effectiveness of AI for Enhancing Computed Tomography Image Quality and Radiation Protection in Radiology: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis,"* Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 2025. [URL: https://www.jmir.org/2025/1/e66622/] [2] M. Bani-Ahmad et al., "Potential of artificial intelligence for radiation dose reduction in computed tomography,"* The British Journal of Radiology*, 2025. [URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1078817425001129] [3] A. C. David-Olawade et al., "AI-Driven Advances in Low-Dose Imaging and Enhancement,"* PMC*, 2025. [URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11941271/] [4] M. Khalifa et al., "AI in diagnostic imaging: Revolutionising accuracy and efficiency,"* Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering*, 2024. [URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666990024000132] [5] M. Nagendran et al., "Artificial intelligence versus clinicians: systematic review of diagnostic accuracy studies,"* BMJ*, 2020. [URL: https://www.bmj.com/content/368/bmj.m689] [6] R. Han et al., "Randomised controlled trials evaluating artificial intelligence in diagnostic imaging: a systematic review,"* The Lancet Digital Health*, 2024. [URL: https://www.thelancet.com/journals/landig/article/PIIS2589-7500(24)00047-5/fulltext] [7] D. H. Lee et al., "Image Quality and Diagnostic Performance of Low-Dose CT with Deep Learning Reconstruction,"* Radiology: Artificial Intelligence*, 2024. [URL: https://pubs.rsna.org/doi/abs/10.1148/ryai.230192] [8] J. Herington et al., "Ethical Considerations for Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging,"* Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 2023. [URL: https://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/64/10/1509]

---